# TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENTS FOR PICO-SATELLITE FORMATIONS AND THEIR APPLICATION POTENTIAL IN SPACE WEATHER AND EARTH OBSERVATIONS

K. Schilling<sup>1</sup>, T. Nogueira<sup>2</sup>, A. Kheirkhah<sup>2</sup>, T. Tzschichholz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Zentrum fuer Telematik, Wuerzburg, Germany

#### Abstract

Progress in small satellite technologies supports realization of distributed, networked multi-satellite systems at similar costs like traditional multi-functional single satellites. Even at the size of pico-satellites (with a mass of about 1 kg) suitable instruments have been developed for detection of electromagnetic fields and waves, radio waves, plasma and particles, photometers and imagers (in a spectrum range including near IR, UV, X and gamma rays) [8] [7]. Thus the pico-satellites currently move from educational projects [14], [10] towards commercial applications [5], [7]. This offers perspectives for innovative measurement approaches by satellite formations in fields like interferometry, gravimetry, distributed telescopes, virtual apertures [4], [1]. Here the significant baseline distance between detectors, placed on different satellites of the formation, offers advantageous observation conditions. The objective of this contribution is to provide a survey on suitable sensors for pico-satellites and their application potential in formations, as well as the technology base to realize pico-satellite formation missions.

So far multi-satellite systems have been mainly realized in form of constellations, where each satellite is individually controlled from ground (examples include GPS, CLUSTER, Rapid Eye, IRIDIUM). Only recently formations of two satellites have been realized, based on relative distance measurements and a closed control loop in space in order to autonomously coordinate position and orientation (missions like GRACE, Tandem-X, PRISMA) [1], [4].

At the pico-satellite level, currently QB50 (<u>www.qb50.eu</u>) addresses a constellation of about 50 spacecraft for multipoint in-situ observations of the poorly explored lower thermosphere (90 - 380 km altitude), to be launched 2016 by a Cyclone-4 from Brazil. There is currently much academic interest for further small satellite constellation missions [9]. The UWE-program ( $\underline{U}$ niver-

sity <u>W</u>uerzburg's <u>E</u>xperimental satellites) has its scientific focus on enabling technologies for formation flying at pico- or nano-satellite level [12], [11], [13]. For this purpose several pico-satellites at a mass budget of 1 kg had been realized and tested in orbit: UWE-1 (launched 2005, [3], [10]) addressed communication based on Internet Protocols for networks in the space environment. UWE-2 (launched 2009) and UWE-3 (launched 2013, [2]) had emphasis on attitude determination and control. Foreseen for launch in 2015, UWE-4 will test an electrical propulsion system based on vacuum arc thrusters for orbit control [6]. After this, all crucial technologies have



been prepared and tested in orbit. As next challenge formation flying with four very small satellites will be demonstrated in the NetSat-mission, planned for launch in 2017.

This contribution will address details of related technology developments for pico-satellites as well as for sensor systems with respect to the two candidate mission profiles related to gradiometry and to optical multi-perspective observations.

### Plenary Session

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contributions from all the team members in the UWE picosatellite projects, as well as the support from the European Research Council Advanced Grant "NetSat".

#### References

1. Alfriend, K. T., S. R. Vadali, P. Gurfil, J. P. How, L. S. Breger. Spacecraft Formation Flying. Dynamics, Control and Navigation, Elsevier Astrodynamics 2010.

2. Bangert, P., Busch, S., Schilling, K., Performance Characteristics of the UWE-3 Miniature Attitude Determination and Control system, 2nd IAA Conference on Dynamics and Control of Space Systems (DYCOSS), Rome 2014, IAA-AAS-DyCoSS2-14-05-07

3. Barza, R., Y. Aoki, K. Schilling. CubeSat UWE-1 Technology Tests and In Orbit Results. In 57th International Astronautical Congress Valencia, IAC-06-B5.3.07, 2006.

4. D'Errico, M. (ed.), Distributed Missions for Earth System Monitoring, Springer 2012

5. Jones, N.; Mini satellites prove their scientific power, Nature 508 (17 April 2014), p. 300–301, http://www.nature.com/news/mini-satellites-prove-their-scientific-power-1.15051

6. Kronhaus, I., Pietzka, M., Schilling, K., 2013. Pico-Satellite Formation Control by Electric Propulsion. Proceedings 19th IFAC Symposium Automatic Control in Aerospace, Würzburg 2013

7. NASA Ames Research Center, Mission Design Division Staff; Small Spacecraft Technology State of the Art, NASA/TP-2014-216648 (2014)

8. National Science Foundation (NSF) / NASA ; CubeSat-Based Science Missions for Geospace and Atmospheric Research, Annual Report 2013

9. Sandau, R., Nakasuka, S., Kawashima, R., Sellers, J., 2012. Novel Ideas for Nanosatellite Constellation Missions, IAA Book Series

10. Schilling, K., Design of Pico-Satellites for Education in System Engineering, IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine 21 (2006), pp. 9-14.

11. Schilling, K., Perspectives for Miniaturized, Distributed, Networked Systems for Space Exploration, Proceedings IAS-NRF Workshop, Venice 2014

12. Schilling, K., Schmidt, M., Busch, S., 2012. Crucial Technologies for Distributed Systems of Pico-Satellites. Proceedings 63rd International Astronautical Congress, IAC-12-D1.2.4, Naples, Italy.

13. Schilling, K., Schmidt, M., 2012. Communication in Distributed Satellite Systems. In: D'Errico, M. (ed.), Distributed Missions for Earth System Monitoring, Springer 2012.

14. Twiggs, R.; The Next Generation of Innovative Space Engineers: University Students are now Getting a Taste of Space Experience Building, Launching and Operating their own Space Experiments with Low-Cost Picosatellites, 5th International ESA Conference on Spacecraft Guidance, Navigation and Control Systems ESA SP-516 (2013), Noordwijk, p. 409-422.